

APP 2

D E Bond
Director of Law & Democracy
Municipal Buildings
Church Road
Stockton on Tees
TS18 1LD

Jonathan Swales
57 Worsall Road
Yarm
Cleveland
TS15 9EB

Dear Sir/Madam,

I write regarding the proposed speed hump installation on Turton Road/Willey Flatts Lane in Yarm.

Having now lived in the same house on Worsall Road for 9 years with the access to my property being from the rear, therefore from Turton Road, I don't know where you are coming from when you think there is a need for speed humps on this estate, and I speak as a resident who has had a speeding car crash land into my front garden from Worsall Road in a freak accident, the biggest and really only problem with speeding is the taxi's going to and from Tall Trees, but if you want to do something about that then put a camera up or even better have all taxi's fitted with tacho's like trucks do so you can tell the speed the vehicle has been doing.

Fitting speed humps is a no go, they are unsightly, cause noise in the way of slowing down and revving up all the time, and they cause damage to vehicles, it's proven, they are Dangerous!

There are much more important things to be spending tax payers money on before worrying about these, like re-surfacing, pot holes, kerbstones and lighting, our area is not too bad for all that but I know other areas need seeing too.

I for one will not be happy if this goes ahead and I'm willing to go to the top with the council to get it stopped.

Yours sincerely



Jonathan Swales

41, ESKDALE CLOSE,
YARM,
STOCKTON ON TEES
TS15 9UL
01642 786715

Dear Sir,
REF. PROPOSED TRAFFIC CALMING
SCHEME FOR LAYFIELD + WILLEY FLATS LANE

I am objecting to the proposal on the grounds that it is unnecessary, costly and I read in the responsible press that these measures have no proven safety record, even past schools. I also note that they cause damage to vehicles, especially long wheel based ones.

I use these roads regularly, daily as a pedestrian and motorist, and I have seen no evidence that these proposed measures are justified on road safety grounds.

As a local and national

tax payer I object to this
unnecessary expenditure and
needless restrictions on the motorist.

I am all for road safety
and the protection of other road
users and pedestrians, I am one
of them. I am sure on this
particular occasion the proposed
restrictions are not justified.

yours faithfully,

Phil Stokes